Received: 26.09.2021 Accepted: 04.01.2022 Published: 18.08.2022 POLISH Journal of Radiology

Original paper

An investigation of the efficacy of shear wave elastography in the characterization of benign and malignant liver lesions

Suat Keskin^{1,A,B,C,D,E,F}, Ozan Babaoglu^{2,B,F}, Zeynep Keskin^{3,D,E}

¹Department of Radiology, KTO Karatay University, Medicana Hospital, Konya, Turkey ²Department of Radiology, Health Sciences University Ankara Ataturk Sanatorium Training and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey ³Department of Radiology, Konya City Hospital, Konya, Turkey

Abstract

Purpose: To investigate whether shear wave elastography (SWE) examination, which has recently been proposed as an accessory radiological examination technique, is effective in characterizing focal liver lesions (FLLs).

Material and methods: A total of 105 patients, comprising 48 males and 57 females, underwent SWE examination. The mean age of the patients was 53.31 ± 1.59 (age range 5-87) years. The SWE measurements were obtained from FLLs that were approximately 2 to 8 cm in depth in a box that was approximately 0.5×1 cm wide on an ultrasonography (USG) screen from approximately 2 different locations. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to determine the diagnostic SWE values in the differentiation of benign and malignant lesions. Sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive and negative predictive values were calculated in the presence of significant limit values.

Results: The SWE values, in kPa and m/s, in the malignant lesions were significantly higher than those in the benign lesions (p = 0.006, p = 0.011). In the ROC curve analysis, the cut-off value was calculated as 9.005 kPa in the differentiation of malignant lesions from benign lesions. The area under the ROC curve was calculated as 0.656 in the range of 0.551-0.761 with 95% reliability. Sensitivity was calculated as 64.2%, specificity as 61.5%, positive predictive value as 63%, and negative predictive value as 62.7%.

Conclusions: In addition to providing little contribution to the differentiation of benign and malignant lesions, the SWE technique is thought to contribute to a certain extent, especially in suspected cases, during the diagnosis with cross-sectional methods.

Key words: ultrasonography, focal liver lesions, shear wave elastography.

Introduction

Detection and characterization of focal liver lesions (FLLs) are common in daily clinical practice. Ultrasonography (USG) is considered a first-line radiological imaging method because it is reliable, low-cost, and easily accessible [1]. Doppler examination and contrast-enhanced USG can help identify FLLs [2]. Unfortunately, in the evaluation of smaller FLLs (< 1 cm), the sensitivity and specificity values of USG examination remain below 70% [3].

Today, the complementary use of contrast-enhanced USG and contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) methods is thought to be the best approach for detecting FLLs. However, in some cases, a definitive diagnosis may not be made [4]. Percutaneous liver biopsy, an invasive method, is still performed in patients who cannot be diagnosed with imaging methods. However, liver biopsy includes several negative aspects, such as decreased patient comfort, pain occurring in 20-30% of the procedures, and high morbidity and mortality rates [5,6].

Correspondence address:

Suat Keskin, KTO Karatay University, Medicana Hospital, Turkey, phone: +90539692486, e-mail: drsuatkeskin@yahoo.com

Authors' contribution:

A Study design · B Data collection · C Statistical analysis · D Data interpretation · E Manuscript preparation · F Literature search · G Funds collection

For this reason, sonoelastography has been developed as a new imaging method, which is based on the measurement of tissue stiffness, based on the variability of tissue stiffness with pathological conditions, such as neoplasm or inflammation [7,8]. Shear wave elastography (SWE) is a new method based on the detection of shear waves that occur after sending temporary mechanical impulses to the tissue being examined, to create a quantitative tissue hardness map by the same probe using very fast imaging sequences [9].

This study aimed to investigate the efficacy of SWE in the characterization of common FLLs, especially in the differentiation of benign and malignant lesions.

Material and methods

Study population

A total of 115 patients, comprising 55 males and 60 females, who were sent to the Necmettin Erbakan University Meram Medical Faculty Radiology Department USG unit, from other medical units, for sonographic examination, between January 2014 and June 2016, were included in this prospective study. A total of 10 patients with chronic hepatic disease and lesion size less than 1 cm, comprising 7 males and 3 females, were excluded from the study. Therefore, 105 patients, comprising 48 males and 57 females, underwent SWE examination. The mean age of the patients was 53.31 ± 1.59 (age range 5-87) years. The distribution of the lesions is shown in Table 1. Metastases were from a single type of primary and were mostly colonic, gastric, and pancreatic types. Three patients with hepatocellular cancer (HCC) had cirrhosis. Imaging methods such as USG, computed tomography, and MRI were used to diagnose FLLs. Ancillary imaging methods were the gold standard for the lesions deemed benign. A USG-guided percutaneous biopsy was performed for the diagnosis of malignant lesions. The average time interval was 2-3 weeks between SWE and biopsy in the malignant lesions. Pathological specimens were evaluated by a pathologist who had at least 10 years of experience in liver diseases. Approval was obtained from the Necmettin Erbakan University Meram Medical Faculty Ethics Committee for this prospective study. All the patients included in the study were asked to sign an informed consent form.

Imaging protocols and shear wave elastography technique

B-mode USG and SWE were performed in supine and lateral decubitus positions by a single radiologist (SK) who had 11 years of conventional USG and 4 years of elastography experience. The radiologist was blinded to the suspected diagnosis and ancillary diagnostic evaluation. A Philips iU22 USG ultrasound machine (Philips Healthcare, Andover, MA, USA) and Philips C5-1 2.5 MHz convex probe transducer suitable for SWE examination were used for the procedure.

Throughout the entire examination the patients were allowed to hold their breath after it had been inspired as deeply as possible. The B-mode examination revealed the target lesion in the liver. The size and depth of the target lesion were determined. Lesions that were less than 2 cm and more than 8 cm in in depth were not included in the study. The SWE operation was performed with the same probe. In this method, called the elastoPQ technique, manual compression was not applied to the probe during the procedure. Measurements were obtained from a box that was approximately 0.5×1 cm wide on the screen. With this method, shear waves were sent to the tissue through a convex probe using a special pulse sequence technique, and the distribution rate of these waves in the tissue was measured. Measurements were given by the device in kPa and m/s. During the SWE, 2 measurements were taken from different points of liver masses and averaged, and 2 measurements were made from the liver parenchyma and averaged. SWE values taken from the liver parenchyma were in an area free of large vessels and more than 2 cm away from the liver lesion. The mass/parenchyma ratio was also evaluated. Moreover, according to the standard deviation value calculated automatically by the device in each measurement, those with a standard deviation value below 5 kPa were classified as homogeneous, and those above 5 kPa were classified as non-radially or radially heterogeneous. The radial character was established on the aspect of convergence towards the centre of the lesion, of the lines of maximum stiffness. An attempt was made to take the measurements from solid areas as often as possible. Cystic and calcific areas were not measured. All the measurements for each patient were obtained at the same depth. This depth was determined according to the central part of the mass, and the normal parenchymal measurement was obtained at the same depth.

	Lesion types						
	Haemangioma	FNH	НСС	Metastasis	Adenoma	CCA	
Homogeneous, $n = 75$	34	4	11	20	2	3	
Heterogeneous, <i>n</i> = 30	7	2	2	11	2	7	
Total, <i>N</i> = 105	41	6	13	31	4	10	

Table 1. Characterization of the lesions

FNH – focal nodular hyperplasia, HCC – hepatocellular cancer, CCA – clear cell adenocarcinoma

Table 2. Descriptive information

	п	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard deviation
Size	105	18	159	60.27	32.179
Mass kPa	105	1.30	52.20	11.6695	8.55407
Mass m/s	105	0.66	4.16	1.8753	0.68361

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Numerical variables were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation or number (percentage) according to the suitability status. The suitability of the normal distribution variables was examined with visual (histogram and probability graphs) and analytical methods (Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests).

Descriptive analyses were given using the mean and standard deviations for normally distributed variables. Because the lesion depth and parenchymal SWE values in m/s were determined to show normal distribution, these parameters were compared between the gender, lesion character, and pathology using Student's *t*-test.

Because age, lesion size, mass SWE values in kPa and m/s, and parenchymal SWE values in kPa did not show normal distribution, the Mann-Whitney *U* test was used between these parameters, gender, lesion character, and pathology.

The χ^2 test was performed to determine whether there was a statistical significance between the gender, lesion character, and pathological subtypes.

One-way ANOVA was performed to determine whether there was a significant relationship between lesion depth and mass type.

The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to determine whether there was a significant relationship between age, lesion size, mass SWE values in kPa and m/s, and parenchymal SWE values in kPa and mass type.

Binary comparisons were made using the Mann-Whitney *U* test and evaluated using Bonferroni correction.

Correlation coefficients and statistical significance were calculated using the Spearman test for variables where at least one was not normally distributed or ordinal. For statistical significance, the total type-1 error level was taken as 5%. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to determine the diagnostic SWE values in the differentiation of cavernous haemangioma (CH), metastasis, HCC, cholangiocellular cancer (CCC), and benign and malignant lesions. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive, and negative predictive values of these limits were calculated in the presence of significant limit values. In evaluating the area under the ROC curve (AUC), cases with a type 1 error level below 5% were interpreted as having a statistically significant diagnostic value of the test.

Table 3. Mean elasticity values of the lesions in kPa

Group	n	Mean + SD (kPa)		
Haemangioma	41	8.17 ± 5.99		
НСС	13	13.78 ± 6.54		
Metastasis	31	13.18 ± 10.71		
CCC	10	17.82 ± 9.53		
FNH	6	12.57 ± 6.53		
Adenoma	4	11.10 ± 4.74		

FNH - focal nodular hyperplasia, HCC - hepatocellular cancer, CCC - cholangiocellular cancer

Results

Descriptive information according to the SWE values in the lesion diameter, kPa, and m/s is shown in Table 2.

Table 3 shows the masses and mean elasticity values. It can be seen that in the normal parenchymal area measurements, the mean elasticity values were 5.92 ± 3.99 kPa and 1.36 ± 0.53 m/s. The upper, lower, and average elasticity values of the masses are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

In the Mann-Whitney *U* test, the mass SWE values were significantly higher in heterogeneous lesions than in homogeneous lesions, in kPa (p < 0.001) and m/s (p < 0.001). The mass size was significantly higher in malignant lesions than in benign lesions (p = 0.034). SWE values in kPa and m/s in malignant lesions were significantly higher than in benign lesions (p = 0.006, p = 0.011) (Figures 3 and 4). Patient age was significantly higher in those who had malignant lesions than in those who had benign lesions (p = 0.01). For HCC, the lesion size (p = 0.006) and mass SWE values in kPa (p = 0.007) were significantly higher than those for CH. Mass SWE values in kPa for CCC (p = 0.003) were significantly higher than those for CH.

In the Kruskal-Wallis test, age (p = 0.01) was significantly higher in patients with metastases than for other mass types. The lesion size for CCC (p = 0.018) was found to be significantly higher than other mass types. Age (p = 0.002) was found to be significantly higher in patients with metastasis subtypes when compared to those with CH. SWE values in kPa (p = 0.007) and m/s (p = 0.02) for CCC were significantly higher than for other lesions.

In the Spearman correlation test, a low positive correlation (p = 0.269) was found between lesion size and lesion depth, and a perfect positive correlation (p = 0.963) was found between the SWE values in kPa and m/s.



FNH – focal nodular hyperplasia, HCC – hepatocellular cancer, CCC – cholangiocellular cancer

Figure 1. Upper-lower and mean kPa values of the measured lesions



Figure 3. kPa value of the haemangioma detected in the left lobe of the liver



Figure 5. Characteristic curve of shear wave elastography measurements in differentiating benign liver masses from malign liver masses. ROC – receiver operating characteristics



FNH - focal nodular hyperplasia, HCC - hepatocellular cancer, CCC - cholangiocellular cancer





Figure 4. kPa value of hepatocellular cancer detected in the left lobe of the liver

In the ROC curve analysis, the cut-off value was calculated as 9.005 kPa in the differentiation of malignant lesions from benign lesions. The AUC was calculated as 0.656 in the range 0.551-0.761 with 95% reliability. Sensitivity was calculated as 64.2%, specificity as 61.5%, positive predictive value as 63%, and negative predictive value as 62.7% (Figure 5).

Discussion

Today, elastography techniques are widely used in noninvasive evaluation of the mechanical properties of tissues due to their ease of access and safety, and appropriate cost [10]. There are several different ultrasonographic elastography techniques that differ according to the physical characteristics used [11]. SWE is a new noninvasive quantitative method that determines tissue elasticity by measuring the SW spread to soft tissues [12].

The aim of this study was to investigate whether SWE examination, which has recently been proposed as an ac-

cessory radiological examination technique, is effective in characterizing FLLs.

There are few studies in the literature that have evaluated the elasticity of liver focal solid lesions.

In the study of Guibal *et al.*, published in 2012, measurements were made using SWE from 161 lesions in 108 patients. The distribution of these lesions comprised 53 metastases, 26 HCC, 16 focal nodular hyperplasia (FNH), 10 adenomas, 7 clear cell adenocarcinoma (CCA), and 3 focal fatty areas. In this study, important clues were obtained in the characterization of some lesion groups. As an example, a statistically significant difference was found in detecting HCC and CCC lesions that were difficult to distinguish from each other (p = 0.0004). Moreover, a significant difference was found between the elasticity values of FNH, and haemangioma (p = 0.0002) and adenomas (p < 0.0001) [13].

In the current study, due to insufficient statistical competence in terms of lesion groups other than haemangioma, HCC, CCC, and metastasis, the evaluation was performed mostly on the elasticity differences between HCC-metastasishaemangioma-CCC lesions.

In the study conducted by Guibal *et al.*, the mean elasticity values of HCC and metastasis were 14.86 ± 10 and 28.8 ± 16 kPa, respectively. In the present study, the mean elasticity values of HCC and metastasis were 13.78 ± 6.54 and 13.18 ± 10.71 kPa, respectively, and they were partially close [13]. In the study conducted by Choong *et al.*, the mean elasticity values of HCC and metastasis were 51.45 ± 14.96 and 49.89 ± 13.82 kPa, respectively, and they stood out as significantly high values, unlike the current study [14].

Guibal *et al.* reported 13.8 ± 5.5 kPa as the mean elasticity values of haemangiomas and showed a slight increase when compared to normal parenchymal values [13]. Cho et al. [15], Heide et al. [16], and Davies and Koenen [17] revealed similar findings in their studies. In the current study, this value was found to be 8.64 \pm 1.13 and 1.67 \pm 0.1 in m/s, and it was found to be correlated with other mentioned studies. Unlike the study of Davies and Koenen [17], patient age was found to be significantly higher in those with metastasis subtypes when compared to those with haemangiomas (p = 0.002) [17]. Similarly to the study of Cho et al., in the present study, the mass SWE values for HCC and CCA in kPa were found to be significantly higher than those for haemangiomas (p = 0.007). Unlike the study of Cho et al., the lesion size of HCC was found to be significantly higher than that of haemangiomas (p = 0.006) [15].

Choong *et al.* compared the values of HCC and metastases in kPa in their study and stated that there was no significant difference between them. Similar results were reported in the study of Guibal *et al.* and in the current study [13,14].

Guibal *et al.* found that 38% (10) of the HCC lesions and 86.8% (46) of the metastasis lesions were heterogeneous [13]. Choong *et al.* determined these rates as 27.8% (13) and 40% (4), respectively [14]. In the current study, it was observed that 15% (2) of the HCC lesions and 35% (11) of the metastasis lesions were heterogeneous. Hence, the number of heterogeneous cases was less than that in the 2 studies mentioned above. This suggests that the current study results were more reliable than those in the study of Guibal and Choong [13,14]. The differences between all 3 studies are thought to be due to the fact that the primers of the metastatic lesions did not distribute homogeneously, and that they may have been at different stages of their treatment, in addition to their numerical differences.

Due to the frequent development of HCC based on cirrhosis, in the current study, in some of the lesions (3 of 13 HCC, approximately 23%), contrary to expectations, the measured kPa value was lower than the parenchyma values. In this respect, Fahey *et al.* [18] and Gallotti *et al.* [19] stated that the kPa values of the majority of the HCC lesions that they measured were lower than the parenchyma values. In the study of Cho *et al.*, the kPa values were found to be lower than the parenchymal values in only 24% of the HCC lesions [15]. The reason for this was thought to be that the amount of cirrhosis that the HCC lesions develop on the basis and the spatial heterogeneity of the lesions within themselves were different.

In the ROC curve analysis, the cut-off value was taken as 9.005 kPa in the differentiation of malignant lesions from benign lesions, while it was taken as 20.7 kPa in the study of Gerber et al. The specificity, sensitivity, and positive predictive and negative predictive values were similar. Unlike in the study of Gerber et al., the mass SWE values for HCC and CCC in kPa were significantly higher than those for haemangiomas (p = 0.007). Similarly to the study herein, Gerber et al. found significantly higher SWE values for CCC in kPa than for other lesions (p = 0.033-0.0079) [20]. Guibal *et al.* found a significant difference in the differentiation of benign and malignant lesions in terms of mean elasticity values [13]. This situation was similar in our study. However, according to ROC curve analysis with the cut-off value calculated as 6 kPa, the sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values were calculated as 70.9%, 30.0%, 58.2%, and 42.9%, respectively, in the differentiation of malignant and benign lesions. This reinforced the opinion that the use of SWE is appropriate to provide important auxiliary clues during the diagnosis of lesions by cross-sectional methods rather than primary diagnosis.

In the study of Grgurevic *et al.*, similarly to the study herein, the SWE values in kPa were significantly higher for malignant lesions than for benign lesions (p < 0.001). Differently and significantly higher than the current study, the cut-off value in the differentiation of malignant lesions from benign lesions in the ROC curve analysis was calculated as 23.2 kPa [21]. In a study by Park *et al.*, similarly to the current study, the SWE values in kPa were significantly higher for malignant lesions than for benign lesions (p < 0.0001). Unlike in the present study, Park *et al.* found a significantly higher cut-off value of 30.8 kPa in the ROC curve analysis in the differentiation of malignant lesions from benign lesions [22].

In a study by Hasab-Allah *et al.*, similarly to the study herein, patient age was found to be significantly higher in those with malignant lesions than in those with benign lesions (p < 0.001). Similarly to the current study, the lesion size was significantly larger for HCC than for haemangiomas (p = 0.02). Unlike in the present study, there was no significant difference in the SWE values in kPA between the lesion types (p > 0.05) [23].

Unlike the current study, Ronot *et al.* found no significant difference between the SWE values in kPa for malignant and benign lesions (p = 0.64) [24].

In a study by Tian *et al.*, similarly to the study herein, the SWE values in kPa were significantly higher for malignant lesions than for benign lesions (p < 0.001). Similarly to the current study, the mean SWE values for haemangiomas were lower than for other lesions [25]. In a study by Zhang *et al.*, similarly to the study herein, the SWE values in kPa were significantly higher for malignant lesions than for benign lesions (p < 0.01) [26].

In the present study, unlike other studies, the m/s values were measured together with the kPa values as a feature of the USG device used, and it was seen that there was a perfect positive correlation between them according to the Spearman correlation test.

There were some limitations to this study. First, an inadequate number of mass types, such as FNH, adenoma, non-cirrhotic HCC, and CCC can be considered the main limitation of the study. This reduced the likelihood of creating a reliable cut-off value in the differentiation between benign and malignant lesions and making a healthy distinction between other types of masses. Studies with a sufficient number of homogeneously distributed patient groups will give more significant results about the reliability of the technique. The second limitation of the study was that the diagnosis of benign FLLs was not confirmed by USGguided percutaneous biopsy. Apart from this, one of the main limitations of our study is the difficulty in reaching a sufficient level of AUC value in the ROC analysis for the differentiation of benign and malignant liver lesions. This reveals that the SWE procedure can only be an accessory radiological modality in the differentiation of benign and malignant liver lesions.

Conclusions

With the increasing use of the SWE elastography in recent years, it stands out as an accessory radiological method that can help distinguish benign and malignant lesions from each other and, especially, HCC and CCC from other lesions. The findings of both the studies in the literature and the study herein showed that the SWE method can contribute to the primary diagnosis of lesions at this stage as an accessory radiological examination. However, in addition to providing little contribution in the differentiation of some benign and malignant lesions, it is thought to contribute, to a certain extent, during the diagnosis with cross-sectional methods, especially in suspected cases. In this way, it can be hoped that the diagnosis of FLLs with invasive methods may be reduced to a certain extent.

Conflict of interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

References

- Harvey CJ, Albrecht T. Ultrasound of focal liver lesions. Eur Radiol 2001; 11: 1578-1593.
- D'Onofrio M, Romanini L, Serra C, et al. Contrast enhancement ultrasound application in focal liver lesions characterization: a retrospective study about guidelines application (SOCEUS-CEUS survey). J Ultrasound 2016; 19: 99-106.
- Wernecke K, Rummeny E, Bongartz G, et al. Detection of hepatic masses in patients with carcinoma: comparative sensitivities of sonography, CT, and MR imaging. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1991; 157: 731-739.
- Friedrich-Rust M, Klopffleisch T, Nierhoff J, et al. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound for the differentiation of benign and malignant focal liver lesions: a meta-analysis. Liver Int 2013; 33: 739-755.
- Lindor KD, Bru C, Jorgensen RA, et al. The role of ultrasonography and automatic-needle biopsy in outpatient percutaneous liver biopsy. Hepatology 1996; 23: 1079-1083.
- Atwell TD, Smith RL, Hesley GK, et al. Incidence of bleeding after 15,181 percutaneous biopsies and the role of aspirin. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2010; 194: 784-789.

- Ophir J, Garra B, Kallel F, et al. Elastographic imaging. Ultrasound Med Biol 2000; 26 Suppl 1: S23-29.
- Yeh WC, Li PC, Jeng YM, et al. Elastic modulus measurements of human liver and correlation with pathology. Ultrasound Med Biol 2002; 28: 467-474.
- Bercoff J, Tanter M, Fink M. Supersonic shear imaging: a new technique for soft tissue elasticity mapping. IEEE Trans Ultrason Ferroelectr Freq Control 2004; 51: 396-409.
- Gerber L, Kasper D, Fitting D, et al. Assessment of liver fibrosis with 2-D shear wave elastography in comparison to transient elastography and acoustic radiation force impulse imaging in patients with chronic liver disease. Ultrasound Med Biol 2015; 41: 2350-2359.
- Ferraioli G, Filice C, Castera L, et al. WFUMB guidelines and recommendations for clinical use of ultrasound elastography: Part 3: liver. Ultrasound Med Biol 2015; 41: 1161-1179.
- Zaleska-Dorobisz U, Pawluś A, Szymańska K, et al. Ultrasound elastography – review of techniques and its clinical applications in pediatrics – part 2. Adv Clin Exp Med 2015; 24: 725-730.

- Guibal A, Boularan C, Bruce M, et al. Evaluation of shearwave elastography for the characterisation of focal liver lesions on ultrasound. Eur Radiol 2013; 23: 1138-1149.
- Choong KL, Abdullah BJJ, Kumar G, et al. Shear wave elastography in characterization of liver tumours. European Congress of Radiology. March 6-10, 2014. Vienna, Austria. EPOS; C-1921.
- Cho SH, Lee JY, Han JK, et al. Acoustic radiation force impulse elastography for the evaluation of focal solid hepatic lesions: preliminary findings. Ultrasound Med Biol 2010; 36: 202-208.
- Heide R, Strobel D, Bernatik T, et al. Characterization of focal liver lesions with acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) elastometry. Ultraschall Med 2010; 31: 405-409.
- Davies G, Koenen M. Acoustic radiation force impulse elastography in distinguishing hepatic haemangiomata from metastases: preliminary observations. Br J Radiol 2011; 84: 939-943.
- Fahey BJ, Nelson RC, Bradway DP, et al. In vivo visualization of abdominal malignancies with acoustic radiation force elastography. Phys Med Biol 2008; 53: 279-293.
- Gallotti A, D'Onofrio M, Romanini L, et al. Acoustic Radiation Force Impulse (ARFI) ultrasound imaging of solid focal liver lesions. Eur J Radiol 2012; 81: 451-455.

- Gerber L, Fitting D, Srikantharajah K, et al. Evaluation of 2D-shear wave elastography for characterisation of focal liver lesions. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis 2017; 26: 283-290.
- Grgurevic I, Bokun T, Salkic NN, et al. Liver elastography malignancy prediction score for noninvasive characterization of focal liver lesions. Liver Int 2018; 38: 1055-1063.
- 22. Park HS, Kim YJ, Yu MH, et al. Shear wave elastography of focal liver lesion intraobserver reproducibility and elasticity characterization. Ultrasound Q 2015; 31: 262-271.
- Hasab-Allah M, Salama RM, Marie MS, et al. Utility of point shear wave elastography in characterisation of focal liver lesions. Exp Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 12: 201-207.
- Ronot M, Renzo SD, Gregoli B, et al. Characterization of fortuitously discovered focal liver lesions: additional information provided by shearwave elastography. Eur Radiol 2015; 25: 346-358.
- Tian WS, Lin MX, Zhou LY, et al. Maximum value measured by 2-D shear wave elastography helps in differentiating malignancy from benign focal liver lesions. Ultrasound Med Biol 2016; 42: 2156-2166.
- 26. Zhang HP, Gu JY, Bai M, et al. Value of shear wave elastography with maximal elasticity in differentiating benign and malignant solid focal liver lesions. World J Gastroenterol 2020; 26: 7416-7424.